A NON-COMMUTATIVE SOBOLEV ESTIMATE
AND ITS APPLICATION TO SPECTRAL SYNTHESIS

M. K. VEMURI

ABSTRACT. In [M. K. Vemuri, *Realizations of the canonical representation,* submitted], it was shown that the spectral synthesis problem for the Alpha transform is closely related to the problem of classifying realizations of the canonical representation (of the Heisenberg group). In this paper, we show that discrete sets are sets of spectral synthesis for the Alpha transform.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

For $p \in [1, \infty]$, let S^p denote the Schatten class of pth power traceable operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, let T_x and M_y denote the translation and modulation operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. for $s \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T_x s)(t) &= s(t - x) \qquad \text{and} \\
(M_y s)(t) &= e^{-2\pi i y t} s(t).
\end{aligned}
$$

If $(x_1, y_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $X \in S^p$, set

$$
(x_1, y_1) \cdot X = T_{y_1} M_{-x_1} X M_{x_1} T_{-y_1}.
$$

If $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $X \in S^p$, set

$$
q \cdot X = \iint q(x_1, y_1)(x_1, y_1) \cdot X \, dx_1 \, dy_1.
$$

Then S^p becomes an $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$-module. Note that the previous integral exists by Lemma 2.4.

Main theorem. *If $X \in S^1$, $\operatorname{tr}(X) = 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\hat{\rho} = 1$ on a neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ such that*

$$
\|\rho \cdot X\|_{S^1} < \varepsilon.
$$

Definition 1.1. If $X \in S^1$, the Alpha transform of X is the function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$
\alpha(X)(x, y) = \operatorname{tr}(T_x M_y X).
$$

The Alpha transform is related to the module structure on S^1 in the same way that the classical Fourier transform is related to convolution. In fact, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. *If $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $X \in S^1$, then $\alpha(q \cdot X) = \hat{q}\alpha(X)$.*

Proof. Note first that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha((x_1, y_1) \cdot X) &= \operatorname{tr}(T_x M_y T_{y_1} M_{-x_1} X M_{x_1} T_{-y_1}) \\
&= e^{-2\pi i y y_1} \operatorname{tr}(T_{y_1} T_x M_{-x_1} M_y X M_{x_1} T_{-y_1}) \\
&= e^{-2\pi i (y y_1 + x x_1)} \operatorname{tr}(T_{y_1} M_{-x_1} T_x M_y X M_{x_1} T_{-y_1}) \\
&= e^{-2\pi i (y y_1 + x x_1)} \operatorname{tr}(T_x M_y X) \\
&= e^{-2\pi i (y y_1 + x x_1)} \alpha(X)(x, y).
\end{aligned}
$$

The result now follows by integration. $\qquad \square$

By standard methods, the main theorem leads to the following corollary, which may be viewed as saying that discrete sets are sets of spectral synthesis (more precisely, C-sets) for the Alpha transform.

Main corollary. *Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a discrete set. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. If $X \in S^1$ and $\alpha(X)$ vanishes on D, then there exists $Y \in S^1$ such that $\alpha(Y)$ vanishes on a neighborhood of D and $\|X - Y\|_{S^1} < \varepsilon$.*

2. Some lemmas on integration

In this section, we prove some slight extensions of [4, Theorem 3.27].

Definition 2.1. Let f be a continuous function defined on $\mathbb{R}^2$. We say that f is *rapidly decreasing* and write $f \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ if for all positive integers n, the function $(x, y) \mapsto (1 + x^2 + y^2)^n f(x, y)$ is bounded. The best constants in the bounds give a countable family of norms which turn $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into a Frechet space.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a Frechet space defined by a countable family of *norms*.

Definition 2.2. A function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathcal{T}$ is *bounded* if for each norm σ, the function $\sigma \circ \Phi$ is bounded.

Definition 2.3. A function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathcal{T}$ is *polynomially bounded* if for each norm σ, the function $\sigma \circ \Phi$ is dominated by a polynomial.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathcal{T}$ be a bounded continuous function. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then*

$$\iint f(x, y)\Phi(x, y)\, dx\, dy$$

exists.

Proof. There is a sequence $\{f_k\}$ of compactly supported continuous functions such that $f_k \to f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. For each k, the integral

$$I_k = \iint f_k(x, y)\Phi(x, y)\, dx\, dy$$

exists by [4, Theorem 3.27]. For each norm σ,

$$\sigma(I_k - I_j) \leq \iint |f_k(x, y) - f_j(x, y)|\sigma(\Phi(x, y))\, dx\, dy$$
$$\leq \sup \sigma(\Phi(x, y)) \, \|f_k - f_j\|_1$$
$$\to 0$$

as $k, j \to \infty$. So $\{I_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is Frechet, there exists $I \in \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} I_k = I.$$

Let Λ be a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{T}$. Then

$$\Lambda(I) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \Lambda(I_k)$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \Lambda(\iint f_k(x, y)\Phi(x, y)\, dx\, dy)$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \iint f_k(x, y)\Lambda(\Phi(x, y))\, dx\, dy$$
$$= \iint f(x, y)\Lambda(\Phi(x, y))\, dx\, dy \qquad \text{(by the dominated convergence theorem)}$$
$$= \iint \Lambda(f(x, y)\Phi(x, y))\, dx\, dy.$$

Therefore, $\iint f(x, y)\Phi(x, y)\, dx\, dy = I$. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathcal{T}$ *be a polynomially bounded continuous function. Let* $f \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. *Then*

$$\iint f(x,y)\Phi(x,y)\,dx\,dy$$

exists.

Proof. There is a sequence $\{f_k\}$ of compactly supported continuous functions such that $f_k \to f$ in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. For each k, the integral

$$I_k = \iint f_k(x,y)\Phi(x,y)\,dx\,dy$$

exists by [4, Theorem 3.27]. For each norm σ, there exist C and n such that

$$\sigma(\Phi(x,y)) \leq C(1 + x^2 + y^2)^n.$$

Thus

$$\sigma(I_k - I_j) \leq \iint |f_k(x,y) - f_j(x,y)|\sigma(\Phi(x,y))\,dx\,dy$$

$$\leq C \iint (1 + x^2 + y^2)^n |f_k(x,y) - f_j(x,y)|\,dx\,dy$$

$$\to 0$$

as $k, j \to \infty$. So $\{I_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is Frechet, there exists $I \in \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} I_k = I.$$

Let Λ be a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{T}$. Then

$$\Lambda(I) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \Lambda(I_k)$$

$$= \lim_{k\to\infty} \Lambda\left(\iint f_k(x,y)\Phi(x,y)\,dx\,dy\right)$$

$$= \lim_{k\to\infty} \iint f_k(x,y)\Lambda(\Phi(x,y))\,dx\,dy$$

$$= \iint f(x,y)\Lambda(\Phi(x,y))\,dx\,dy \qquad \text{(by the dominated convergence theorem)}$$

$$= \iint \Lambda(f(x,y)\Phi(x,y))\,dx\,dy.$$

Therefore, $\iint f(x,y)\Phi(x,y)\,dx\,dy = I$. $\qquad\square$

3. AN INTERPOLATION THEOREM

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $1 \leq p_0, p_1, p_0', p_1' \leq \infty$ *and suppose that* $T : L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \to S^{p_0'} \cap S^{p_1'}$ *is a linear transformation which satisfies*

$$\|Tf\|_{S^{p_0'}} \leq M_0 \|f\|_{p_0} \qquad and$$
$$\|Tf\|_{S^{p_1'}} \leq M_1 \|f\|_{p_1}.$$

Then for each $f \in L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and each $t \in (0,1)$, $Tf \in S^{p'_t}$ and

$$\|Tf\|_{S^{p'_t}} \leq M_t \|f\|_{p_t},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p_t} = \frac{t}{p_1} + \frac{1-t}{p_0},$$
$$\frac{1}{p'_t} = \frac{t}{p'_1} + \frac{1-t}{p'_0} \qquad and$$
$$M_t = M_0^{1-t} M_1^t.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the abstract (Calderon-Lions) interpolation theorem once we know that $\{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2) | p \in [1, \infty]\}$ and $\{S^p | p \in [1, \infty]\}$ form complex interpolation scales. For this, see [2, p38, Example 1 and p44, Proposition 8]. Note that we must take S^∞ to be the space of compact operators with the operator norm for all this to work. $\square$

4. NON-COMMUTATIVE HÖLDER INEQUALITY

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $p^{-1} + {p'}^{-1} = 1$. If $A \in S^p$ and $B \in S^{p'}$, then $AB \in S^1$ and*

$$\|AB\|_{S^1} \leq \|A\|_{S^p} \|B\|_{S^{p'}}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.1. For details, see [2]. $\square$

5. THE INVERSE ALPHA TRANSFORM

Definition 5.1. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the inverse Alpha transform of f is the bounded operator defined by

$$\Theta(f) = \iint f(x,y) M_{-y} T_{-x} \, dx \, dy$$

Note that this integral exists by Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 5.2. *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then for all $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$[\Theta(f)g](v) = \int K(v,w) g(w) \, dw \quad a.e.$$

where

$$K(v,w) = \int f(v-w, y) e^{-2\pi i y v} \, dy.$$

Proof. For any $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\int [\Theta(f)g](v)\overline{h(v)}\, dv = \int \left(\iint f(x,y) M_{-y}T_{-x}\, dx\, dy\, g\right)(v)\overline{h(v)}\, dv$$

$$= \iint f(x,y) \int (M_{-y}T_{-x}g)(v)\overline{h(v)}\, dv\, dx\, dy$$
$$\text{(by definition of integral)}$$

$$= \iint f(x,y) \int e^{-2\pi i y v} g(v-x)\overline{h(v)}\, dv\, dx\, dy$$

$$= \iiint f(x,y) e^{-2\pi i y v}\, dy\, g(v-x)\, dx\, \overline{h(v)}\, dv$$
$$\text{(by Fubini's theorem)}$$

$$= \iiint f(v-w,y) e^{-2\pi i y v}\, dy\, g(w)\, dw\, \overline{h(v)}\, dv$$

$$= \iint K(v,w) g(w)\, dw\, \overline{h(v)}\, dv.$$

The application of Fubini's theorem is justified by the fact that $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ if

$$F(x,y,v) = f(x,y) e^{-2\pi i y v} g(v-x)\overline{h(v)}. \quad \square$$

6. A minimalist Alpha inversion formula

Lemma 6.1. *If $X \in S^1$, then*

$$\|\alpha(X)\|_\infty \le \|X\|_{S^1}.$$

Proof. For any $Y \in S^1$, we have $|\mathrm{tr}(Y)| \le \mathrm{tr}(|Y|)$ by the spectral theorem. So for any $X \in S^1$,

$$\|\alpha(X)\|_\infty = \sup_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathrm{tr}(T_x M_y X)|$$
$$\le \sup_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{tr}(|T_x M_y X|)$$
$$= \mathrm{tr}(|X|) \qquad \text{(since } T_x M_y \text{ is unitary)}$$
$$= \|X\|_{S^1}. \quad \square$$

Note that if X is given by an integral kernel K of Schwartz class, then

$$\alpha(X)(x,y) = \int e^{2\pi i y v} K(v, v-x)\, dv.$$

Theorem 6.2. *If f is a Schwartz class function on $\mathbb{R}^2$, then*

$$\alpha(\Theta(f)) = f.$$

Proof. By the Schwartz-Plancherel theorem for the classical Fourier transform, the kernel K of $\Theta(f)$ is of Schwartz class. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\Theta(f))(x, y) &= \int e^{2\pi i y v} K(v, v - x)\, dv \\
&= \int e^{2\pi i y v} \int f(x, y') e^{-2\pi i y' v}\, dy'\, dv \\
&= f(x, y) \qquad \text{(by the classical Fourier inversion formula.)} \quad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 6.3. *If $X \in S^1$ and $\alpha(X)$ is of Schwartz class, then*

$$
X = \Theta(\alpha(X)).
$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.2, we have

$$
\alpha(\Theta(\alpha(X))) = \alpha(X).
$$

But α is injective. So

$$
\Theta(\alpha(X)) = X. \quad \square
$$

7. Non-commutative Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma

Theorem 7.1. *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then $\|\Theta(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1$ and $\Theta(f) \in S^\infty$.*

Proof. Firstly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Theta(f)\|_\infty &= \left\| \iint f(x, y) M_{-y} T_{-x}\, dx\, dy \right\|_\infty \\
&\leq \iint |f(x, y)|\, \|M_{-y} T_{-x}\|_\infty\, dx\, dy \\
&= \iint |f(x, y)|\, dx\, dy \\
&= \|f\|_1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, there is a sequence $\{f_k\}$ of compactly supported smooth functions such that $f_k \to f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, for each k, the operator $\Theta(f_k) \in S^\infty$. But, by the previous calculation, $\Theta(f_k) \to \Theta(f)$ in operator norm. Since S^∞ is closed in the operator norm, $\Theta(f) \in S^\infty$. $\quad \square$

8. Non-commutative Plancherel theorem

Theorem 8.1. Θ *extends to an isometry*

$$
\Theta : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to S^2.
$$

Proof. Assume first that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then $\Theta(f)$ is given by the kernel

$$
K(v, w) = \int f(v - w, y) e^{-2\pi i y v}\, dy.
$$

So

$$\|\Theta(f)\|_{S^2}^2 = \iint |K(v,w)|^2 \, dv \, dw$$

$$= \iint \left| \int f(v-w,y)e^{-2\pi i y v} \, dy \right|^2 dv \, dw$$

$$= \iint |f(u,v)|^2 \, dv \, du \qquad \text{(by the classical Plancherel theorem)}$$

$$= \|f\|_2.$$

The rest is clear. □

9. Non-commutative Hausdorff-Young theorem

Theorem 9.1. *Let $1 \le p \le 2$ and $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$. Then Θ extends to a bounded operator*

$$\Theta : L^p(\mathbb{R}^2) \to S^{p'}.$$

Proof. The endpoint estimates are given by Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 8.1. The result now follows from Theorem 3.1. □

10. An approximation lemma

Definition 10.1. The *non-commutative Schwartz space* is the space $S^{\mathcal{S}}$ of finite rank operators $X : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$X = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k \otimes \overline{\psi_k} \qquad \text{with } \varphi_k \in \mathcal{S},$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ is the space of Schwartz class functions on $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 10.2. *Let $X \in S^1$, $\operatorname{tr}(X) = 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $Z \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(Z) = 0$ and*

$$\|X - Z\|_{S^1} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. It is well known (see e.g. [1]) that there exists a finite rank operator

$$X_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k \otimes \overline{\psi_k}$$

such that $\|\psi_k\|_2 = 1$ and $\|X - X_1\|_{S^1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. It is also well known that there exist $\varphi_k' \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\|\varphi_k - \varphi_k'\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{4n}$. Set

$$X_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k' \otimes \overline{\psi_k}.$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|X_1 - X_2\| &= \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\varphi_k - \varphi_k') \otimes \overline{\psi_k}\right\|_{S^1} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|\varphi_k - \varphi_k'\|_2 \|\psi_k\|_2 \\
&< \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{4n} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $W \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $\|W\| = \mathrm{tr}(W) = 1$ and define $Z = X_2 - \mathrm{tr}(X_2)W$. Then $Z \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$, $\mathrm{tr}(Z) = 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|X_2 - Z\|_{S^1} &\leq |\mathrm{tr}(X_2)| \\
&= \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle \varphi_k', \psi_k \rangle\right| \\
&= \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\langle \varphi_k, \psi_k \rangle - \langle \varphi_k - \varphi_k', \psi_k \rangle\right)\right| \\
&\leq \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle \varphi_k, \psi_k \rangle\right| + \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\langle \varphi_k - \varphi_k', \psi_k \rangle| \\
&\leq |\mathrm{tr}(X_1)| + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|\varphi_k - \varphi_k'\|_2 \|\psi_k\|_2 \\
&< |\mathrm{tr}(X_1)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathrm{tr}(X_1)| &= |\mathrm{tr}(X) - \mathrm{tr}(X - X_1)| \\
&\leq |\mathrm{tr}(X)| + |\mathrm{tr}(X - X_1)| \\
&= |\mathrm{tr}(X - X_1)| \\
&\leq \mathrm{tr}(|X - X_1|) \\
&= \|X - X_1\|_{S^1} \\
&< \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\|X_2 - Z\|_{S^1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Therefore, $\|X - Z\| < \varepsilon$. $\qquad\square$

11. THE ACTION OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Definition 11.1. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, define

$$(P\varphi)(t) = \frac{d\varphi}{dt}$$
$$(Q\varphi)(t) = 2\pi i t \varphi(t).$$

Lemma 11.2. If $X \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$, then $PX, QX \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$. Moreover,

$$\alpha(PX) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi i y\right) \alpha(X)$$

$$\alpha(QX) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \alpha(X).$$

Proof. It follows immediately from the definition that $PX, QX \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$. Since for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T_h - I}{h} \varphi = P\varphi$ in the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ sense, $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T_h - I}{h} X = PX$ in S^1-norm. So

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \alpha(X)(x, y) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \operatorname{tr}(T_x M_y X) \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(T_{x+h} M_y X) - \operatorname{tr}(T_x M_y X)}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{e^{2\pi i y h} T_x M_y T_h X - T_x M_y X}{h}\right) \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{e^{2\pi i y h} T_x M_y T_h X - e^{2\pi i y h} T_x M_y X}{h}\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \frac{e^{2\pi i y h} T_x M_y X - T_x M_y X}{h}\right) \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \operatorname{tr}\left(T_x M_y \frac{T_h - I}{h} X\right) + \frac{e^{2\pi i y h} - 1}{h} \operatorname{tr}(T_x M_y X) \\
&= \operatorname{tr}(T_x M_y P X) + 2\pi i y \operatorname{tr}(T_x M_y X) \\
&= (\alpha(PX) + 2\pi i y \alpha(X))(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\alpha(PX) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi i y\right) \alpha(X).$$

By essentially the same argument, we get

$$\alpha(QX) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \alpha(X). \quad \square$$

Lemma 11.3. If $X \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $q \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then $\operatorname{Im}(q \cdot X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $X = \varphi \otimes \overline{\psi}$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since the map $(x, y) \mapsto \langle g, T_{y_1} M_{-x_1} \psi \rangle$ is bounded and continuous, $q(x_1, y_1) \langle g, T_{y_1} M_{-x_1} \psi \rangle \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Since $(x_1, y_1) \mapsto T_{y_1} M_{-x_1} \varphi$ is a polynomially bounded continuous map $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathcal{S}$,

$$I = \iint q(x_1, y_1) \langle g, T_{y_1} M_{-x_1} \psi \rangle T_{y_1} M_{-x_1} \varphi \, dx_1 \, dy_1$$

exists in $\mathcal{S}$ by Lemma 2.5. Now, for any $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\int I(v)\overline{h(v)}\,dv = \iint q(x_1, y_1)\langle((x_1, y_1) \cdot X)g, h\rangle\,dx_1\,dy_1 \qquad \text{(by definition of integral)}$$
$$= \langle(q \cdot X)g, h\rangle.$$

It follows that

$$I = (q \cdot X)g \quad a.e.$$

In particular $(q \cdot X)g \in \mathcal{S}$. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 11.4. *Let $X \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $q \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then*

$$P(q \cdot X) = (-2\pi i x_1 q) \cdot X + q \cdot (PX).$$

In particular, $P(q \cdot X) \in S^1$.

Proof. Since for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \left(\frac{T_h - I}{h}\right)\varphi = P\varphi$$

in the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$-sense and $\text{Im}(q \cdot X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$P(q \cdot X) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T_h - I}{h}(q \cdot X)$$

in the strong operator topology. For the same reason,

$$PX = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T_h - I}{h}X$$

in S^1-norm. So

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T_h - I}{h}(q \cdot X) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T_h - I}{h}\iint q(x_1, y_1)(x_1, y_1) \cdot X\,dx_1\,dy_1$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0}\iint q(x_1, y_1)\frac{T_h - I}{h}(x_1, y_1) \cdot X\,dx_1\,dy_1$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0}\iint q(x_1, y_1)\frac{1}{h}\left(e^{-2\pi i x_1 h}T_{y_1}M_{-x_1}T_h X M_{x_1}T_{-y_1}\right.$$
$$\left. -T_{y_1}M_{-x_1}XM_{x_1}T_{-y_1}\right)\,dx_1\,dy_1$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0}\iint q(x_1, y_1)\left(\frac{e^{-2\pi i x_1 h} - 1}{h}T_{y_1}M_{-x_1}T_h X M_{x_1}T_{-y_1}\right.$$
$$\left. -T_{y_1}M_{x_1}\frac{T_h - I}{h}XM_{x_1}T_{-y_1}\right)\,dx_1\,dy_1$$
$$= \iint q(x_1, y_1)(-2\pi i x_1(x_1, y_1) \cdot X + (x_1, y_1) \cdot (PX))\,dx_1\,dy_1$$
$$= (-2\pi i x_1 q) \cdot X + q \cdot (PX)$$

in S^1-norm. This proves the claim. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 11.5. *Let $X \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $q \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then*

$$Q(q \cdot X) = (-2\pi i y_1 q) \cdot X + q \cdot (QX).$$

In particular, $Q(q \cdot X) \in S^1$.

Proof. This is proved by the same sort of reasoning as Lemma 11.4.   □

Lemma 11.6. *If $X \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $q \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then*

$$\alpha(P(q \cdot X)) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi iy \right) \alpha(q \cdot X).$$

Proof. By Lemma 11.4, we have

$$P(q \cdot X) = (-2\pi ix_1 q) \cdot X + q \cdot (PX).$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(P(q \cdot X)) =& \frac{\partial \hat{q}}{\partial x} \alpha(X) + \hat{q}\alpha(PX) \\
=& \frac{\partial \hat{q}}{\partial x} \alpha(X) + \hat{q} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi iy \right) \alpha(X) \\
=& \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\hat{q}\alpha(X)) - 2\pi iy\alpha(X) \\
=& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi iy \right) (\hat{q}\alpha(X)) \\
=& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi iy \right) \alpha(q \cdot X). \quad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 11.7. *If $X \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $q \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then*

$$\alpha(Q(q \cdot X)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\alpha(q \cdot X).$$

Proof. This is proved in the same way as Lemma 11.6.   □

12. The harmonic oscillator

Definition 12.1. The *harmonic oscillator* is the differential operator

$$H = P^2 + Q^2.$$

Lemma 12.2. *If $X \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$ and $q \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then $H(q \cdot X) \in S^1$ and*

$$\alpha(H(q \cdot X)) = \mathcal{D}\alpha(q \cdot X),$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi iy \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^2.$$

Proof. By Lemma 11.4 and Lemma 11.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^2(q \cdot X) =& P((-2\pi ix_1 q) \cdot X) + P(q \cdot (PX)) \qquad \text{and} \\
Q^2(q \cdot X) =& Q((-2\pi iy_1 q) \cdot X) + Q(q \cdot (QX)).
\end{aligned}
$$

So $P^2(q \cdot X), Q^2(q \cdot X) \in S^1$ and hence $H(q \cdot X) \in S^1$.

By Lemma 11.6 and Lemma 11.7, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(P^2(q \cdot X)) =& \alpha(P((-2\pi i x_1 q) \cdot X)) + \alpha(P(q \cdot (PX))) \\
=& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi i y\right) \alpha((-2\pi i x_1 q) \cdot X) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi i y\right) \alpha(q \cdot (PX)) \\
=& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi i y\right) \left(\alpha((-2\pi i x_1 q) \cdot X) + \alpha(q \cdot (PX))\right) \\
=& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi i y\right) \left(\frac{\partial \hat{q}}{\partial x} \alpha(X) + \hat{q}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi i y\right) \alpha(X)\right) \\
=& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi i y\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\hat{q}\alpha(X)) - 2\pi i y \alpha(X)\right) \\
=& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi i y\right)^2 (\hat{q}\alpha(X)) \\
=& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\pi i y\right)^2 \alpha(q \cdot X).
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(Q^2(q \cdot X)) =& \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \alpha((-2\pi i y_1 q) \cdot X) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \alpha(q \cdot (QX)) \\
=& \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\alpha((-2\pi i y_1 q) \cdot X) + \alpha(q \cdot (QX))) \\
=& \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial \hat{q}}{\partial y} \alpha(X) + \hat{q}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \alpha(X)\right) \\
=& \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}(\hat{q}\alpha(X)) \\
=& \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \alpha(q \cdot X).
\end{aligned}
$$

The result now follows. □

Theorem 12.3. *There is a complete orthonormal set $\{\varphi_k\}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that*
$$H\varphi_k = -2\pi(2k+1)\varphi_k.$$

Proof. See [3, Lemma 10.34]. □

Corollary 12.4. *For $p > 1$,*
$$H^{-1} \in S^p.$$

Proof. This follows from the p-series test. □

13. A VERSAL CONSTANT

For $\delta > 0$, let $B_\delta = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < \delta\}$. Fix a radial smooth function τ with support in B_1 and identically 1 in a neighborhood of 0. Set
$$V = \|\check{\tau}\|_1.$$

Set

$$\tau_\delta(x, y) = \tau(x/\delta, y/\delta).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{\tau}_\delta(x_1, y_1) &= \iint e^{2\pi i(xx_1 + yy_1)} \tau_\delta(x, y)\, dx\, dy \\
&= \iint e^{2\pi i(xx_1 + yy_1)} \tau(x/\delta, y/\delta)\, dx\, dy \\
&= \iint e^{2\pi i\delta(xx_1 + yy_1)} \tau(x, y)\delta^2\, dx\, dy \\
&= \delta^2 \check{\tau}(\delta x_1, \delta y_1).
\end{aligned}$$

So for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\check{\tau}_\delta\|_1 &= \delta^2 \iint |\check{\tau}(\delta x_1, \delta y_1)|\, dx_1\, dy_1 \\
&= \delta^2 \iint |\check{\tau}(x_1, y_1)| \delta^{-2}\, dx_1\, dy_1 \\
&= \|\check{\tau}\|_1 \\
&= V.
\end{aligned}$$

14. The heart of the matter

Lemma 14.1. *If $X \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$, $\mathrm{tr}(X) = 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\hat{\rho} = 1$ on a neighborhood of $(0,0)$ such that*

$$\|\rho \cdot X\|_{S_1} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Let

$$C_1 = \sup_{B_1} |\nabla\alpha(X)|.$$

Since $\alpha(X)$ is smooth and $\alpha(X)(0,0) = 0$, it follows from the mean value theorem that

$$|\alpha(X)(x,y)| \le C_1 r \qquad \text{on } B_1.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2 &= \sup_{B_1} |\Delta\alpha(X)| \\
D_1 &= \sup_{B_1} |\tau| \\
D_2 &= \sup_{B_1} |\nabla\tau| \\
D_3 &= \sup_{B_1} |\Delta\tau|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{D}(\tau_\delta\alpha(X)) = \left(\Delta - 4\pi iy\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 4\pi^2y^2\right)(\tau_\delta\alpha(X))$$
$$= (\Delta\tau_\delta)\alpha(X) + 2\nabla\tau_\delta\cdot\nabla\alpha(X) + \tau_\delta\Delta\alpha(X)$$
$$- 4\pi iy\left(\frac{\partial\tau_\delta}{\partial x}\alpha(X) + \tau_\delta\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\alpha(X)\right)$$
$$- 4\pi^2y^2\tau_\delta\alpha(X).$$

Therefore, on B_δ, we have by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that

$$|\mathcal{D}(\tau_\delta\alpha(X))| \leq [|\Delta\tau_\delta||\alpha(X)| + 2|\nabla\tau_\delta||\nabla\alpha(X)| + |\tau_\delta||\Delta\alpha(X)|$$
$$+ 4\pi|y|\left(\left|\frac{\partial\tau_\delta}{\partial x}\right||\alpha(X)| + |\tau_\delta|\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\alpha(X)\right|\right)$$
$$+ 4\pi^2|y|^2|\tau_\delta||\alpha(X)|]$$
$$\leq (|\Delta\tau_\delta||\alpha(X)| + 2|\nabla\tau_\delta||\nabla\alpha(X)| + |\tau_\delta||\Delta\alpha(X)|$$
$$+ 4\pi r(|\nabla\tau_\delta||\alpha(X)| + |\tau_\delta||\nabla\alpha(X)|) + 4\pi^2r^2|\tau_\delta||\alpha(X)|)$$
$$\leq (\delta^{-2}D_3C_1r + 2\delta^{-1}D_2C_1 + D_1C_2$$
$$+ 4\pi r(\delta^{-1}D_2C_1r + D_1C_1) + 4\pi^2r^2D_1C_1r)$$
$$\leq (\delta^{-2}D_3C_1r + \delta^{-1}(2D_2C_1 + 4\pi D_2C_1) + (D_1C_2 + 4\pi D_1C_1 + 4\pi^2D_1C_1))$$
$$\leq (A_1\delta^{-2}r + A_2\delta^{-1} + A_3).$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{D}(\tau_\delta\alpha(X))$ is supported in B_δ. Fix $p \in (1,2)$. Then

$$\|\mathcal{D}(\tau_\delta\alpha(X))\|_p \leq A_1\delta^{-2}\left(\iint_{B_\delta} r^p\,dx\,dy\right)^{1/p} + A_2\delta^{-1}\left(\iint_{B_\delta} dx\,dy\right)^{1/p}$$
$$+ A_3\left(\iint_{B_\delta} dx\,dy\right)^{1/p}$$
$$\leq (2\pi)^{1/p}\left(A_1\delta^{-2}\left(\frac{\delta^{p+2}}{p+2}\right)^{1/p} + A_2\delta^{-1}\left(\frac{\delta^2}{2}\right)^{1/p} + A_3\left(\frac{\delta^2}{2}\right)^{1/p}\right)$$
$$= (2\pi)^{1/p}\left((A_1(p+2)^{-1/p} + A_2 2^{-1/p})\,\delta^{2/p-1} + A_3 2^{-1/p}\delta^{2/p}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\mathcal{D}(\tau_\delta\alpha(X)) = 0 \qquad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

If $p^{-1} + {p'}^{-1} = 1$, then we have

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} H(\check{\tau}_\delta\cdot X) = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\Theta\left(\mathcal{D}(\tau_\delta\alpha(X))\right) \qquad \text{(by Corollary 6.3 and Lemma 12.2)}$$
$$= \Theta\left(\lim_{\delta\to 0}\mathcal{D}(\tau_\delta\alpha(X))\right) \qquad \text{(by Theorem 9.1)}$$
$$= 0 \qquad \text{in } S^{p'}.$$

By Corollary 12.4, $H^{-1} \in S^p$. So by Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \check{\tau}_\delta \cdot X = H^{-1}(\lim_{\delta \to 0} H(\check{\tau}_\delta \cdot X))$$
$$= 0 \qquad \text{in } S^1.$$

Therefore, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\|\check{\tau}_{\delta_0} \cdot X\|_{S^1} < \varepsilon$. Take $\rho = \check{\tau}_{\delta_0}$. Then $\hat{\rho} = 1$ in a neighborhood of $(0,0)$ and $\|\rho \cdot X\|_{S^1} < \varepsilon$. $\qquad \square$

Proof of the main theorem. Now, if $X \in S^1$ and $\operatorname{tr}(X) = 0$, by Theorem 10.2 we can find $X' \in S^{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $\|X - X'\|_{S^1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2V}$ and $\operatorname{tr}(X') = 0$. Then by Lemma 14.1 we can find $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\|\rho \cdot X'\|_{S^1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Thus

$$\|\rho \cdot X\|_{S^1} = \|\rho \cdot [X' - (X' - X)]\|_{S^1}$$
$$\leq \|\rho \cdot X'\|_{S^1} + \|\rho \cdot (X' - X)\|_{S^1}$$
$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \|\rho\|_1 \|X - X'\|_{S^1}$$
$$< \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

References

1. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I: Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
2. _____, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
3. John Roe, *Elliptic operators, topology and asymptotic methods*, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, Essex, England, 1988.
4. Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991.

Chennai Mathematical Institute, SIPCOT Information Technology Park, Navalur Post, Siruseri 603 103 India

E-mail address: `mkvemuricmi.ac.in`